

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/695,580 | 10/28/2003 | Manfred Fries | MAS-FIN-411 | 5354 |
| 24131 7. | 590 08/02/2005 | | EXAMI | NER |
| | D GREENBERG, PA | MITCHELL, | MITCHELL, JAMES M | |
| P O BOX 2480 HOLLYWOOD, FL 33022-2480 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 110001 (1000), 10 33022-2400 | | | 2813 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 08/02/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | \ | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| Office Action Commons | 10/695,580 | FRIES, MANFRED | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | James M. Mitchell | 2813 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply | appears on the cover sheet with | h the correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rej reply within the statutory minimum of thirty riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atute, cause the application to become ABA | ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) \boxtimes Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{0}$ | 2 Mav 2005. | | | | | |
| ·_ · · | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allo | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice und | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the applicat | ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected. |)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-10</u> is/are objected to. | Claim(s) 3-10 is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ : | 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a | nents have been received. The sents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | oplication No received in this National Stage | | | | |
| | · | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB. Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to applicant's arguments filed May 2, 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suehiro et al. (U.S.2003/0002272) in combination with Higuchi (JP62114249).

Suehiro (Fig 3-5B) providing an optoelectronic transducer (2) mounted on a support (16) with inner flat conductors and outer flat conductors ("circuit patterns"; Par.0040); embedding the transducer and the inner flat conductors in a

Application/Control Number: 10/695,580

Art Unit: 2813

1

plastic housing (18); and cutting the plastic housing to form a radiation-optical functional surface (Par. 043);

Page 3

(cl. 2) and the radiation-optical functional surface (29) in alignment (i.e. above) with transducer.

Suehiro does not explicitly disclose cutting by milling.

Higuchi discloses the use of cutting a material by milling (English Abstract).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate milling the use of milling as taught by Higuchi to the material of Suehiro, in order to provide cutting as required by Suehiro (Par. 0043).

With respect to the intended use limitation of claim 1, such as "for a coupling partner from a material of the plastic housing," the prior art forms the same structure as claimed. As such, the limitation does not impart patentability since it has been held that the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

Ų

Claims 3-10 are objected to as

Claims 3-10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art does not disclose the use of die casting an outer enclosure with a guiding stub, or forming a guiding stub in a mold with the stub being separated from a plastic housing by a separating wall including all the limitations of the independent claim.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art discloses the use of milling/ grinding to form lens and grooves.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James M. Mitchell whose telephone number is (571) 272-1931. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Whitehead Jr. can be reached on (571) 272-1702. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LAURA M. SCHILLINGER